Communities Ending Poverty: Summit for Strength A new funder perspective: shifting models to empower change

- 1. What's changed? How have big health, economic and other external factors from the last few years changed how the following sectors function?
 - Pandemic put in perspective needs people have
 - And with visibility, this changed how we work as a result
 - We see the significant exacerbation of inequity
 - We see the community sector as essential, no longer invisible workers
 - Systems change can't happen without relationships
 - Need a solid ground to work on, you can't do things without it
 - Need these to be able to fail spectacularly and forward
 - To build these you need transparency
 - Decline rate of organizations and underfunding of Black-led orgs
 - o Unfunded report https://www.forblackcommunities.org/reports/
 - 7 cents for every \$100 dollars going to Black-led orgs
 - A group of community members got together to resolve this the FFBC was born out of this
 - Reckoning with anti-black racism and pandemic June 2020
 - o Brought to the fore the need to address this issue on so many levels
 - Guiding question: how can philanthropy be revisited and centre care and love for community?
 - Reckoning with philanthropic power
 - Who are you accountable to/for (and for many that's not many)
 - Questioning the endowment model
 - o Spend now vs. save for later and in perpetuity
 - Trust based philanthropy
 - Need to define what it means to embody this (especially beyond just granting practice)
 - Transparency
 - Change-oriented
 - Continuous learning (welcome mistake)
- 2. What's working? Which strategies (especially those replicable and actionable for CEP members) shifted any of the following <u>6 dimensions of policy and systems change</u>? What outcomes have we seen so far? Include any resources/links etc. that speakers share.

	Community and/or contact	Change made	Outcomes seen	Resources /links
Policies				
Practices	Vancouver Foundation	Philanthropy a microcosm of power in the world. Received a contract to disburse \$30 million in pandemic resilience funds to community.	Instead of reviewing 3,000 applications, 144 are verified It became less of a competition against	https://ww w.vancouv erfoundati on.ca/gran t- seekers/fin d-

		Instead of a meritocratic process, they shifted to a modified lottery system . This system meant, grantees had to meet certain qualifications and be validated for these by the foundation. Recognized that it was not right to compare two organizations that operated in different fields, geographies, etc. Also recognized, those with the fewest resources often could not do long applications.	others than just who was selected based on the capacity to give They have been doing participatory granting for many decades and knew something new was needed.	grants/rec overy-and- resiliency- fund/
Resources Relationships & connections	The Collective Impact Project (Montreal)	On funder reporting. Moved away from annual written reporting from grantees. Instead will meet twice a year in person to discuss challenges and successes.	They took the work off of grantees so they are not doing all the hard work. Also, allows you as a funder and supporter to ask questions and go deeper than any report would be able to.	https://pic. centraide. org/en/
Power	Foundation for Black Communities	Challenge the idea of innovation a bit, because are we really doing innovation or are we just doing differently that the dominant practices/what people expect. FFBC models it's work after Ubuntu – <i>I am</i> <i>because we are</i> . At every stage they emphasize a community- centred and Afrocentric approach (which they	Redirection of funds to communities. E.g., Board members were selected via a community nomination process. Reviewers and other panels the foundation has work similarly.	https://ww w.forblack communiti es.org

	may not call innovation, but for others it may seem that way). We have an obligation to support communities in self-governance and determination.	
Mental Models		
Other		

3. What's next? What opportunities should we seize to drive positive change? If we are to be successful, what conditions must be in place and/or what barriers must be overcome?

	Change we want to see	Opportunity to seize	Conditions in place/barriers to overcome
Smaller scale (things we can do right now)	On measurement frameworks (esp. from governments). Need to discuss what makes sense to measure.	More relational and trust-based fundraising approaches allow you to ask: What is meaningful for communities? Try to push back on imposed things when you are able to.	Public money – we have contributed to this – it's not just government funds that we are lucky to get. Trust-based philanthropy.
	On learning and evaluation, FFBC says it is not just about impact. E.g., addressing anti- Black racism How do you measure that overtime?	If we are supporting self-determination will that address ABR? How can we understand this? We need to be more expansive in how we think about what we are trying to capture and the change we want to contribute to.	
Larger scale (things we can work toward)	Need to question are we actually a learning organization and what does that mean? There is a mindset and fundamental shift that	Applying it to systems change? (FSG model). We often focus on structures a lot. We need to go deeper: Mental models,	Scarcity mentalities and a rigid focus on hard outputs need to end. As well as, urgency cultures.